# Enova Data Smackdown 2013

Nelson Auner, Zi Chong Kao

Analysis of Problem Original Task:

Select customers to contact to maximize loan profit less calling cost

Analysis:

- Calling costs constant at 10 Euros
- Other costs to acquire customer assumed to be negligible
- Max loan profit achieved by contacting all customers with positive expected net value

Reframed Task:

Determine customers with <u>expected loan profit > 10 Euro</u>

## Data Understanding

## Loan profitability is binary rather than continuous

Observe distribution on the left. Given that threshold for probability is 10 Euro, customers are either clearly profitable or not.



## Data Understanding

### "Historical" dataset is actually a mixture of 2 different datasets!

We speculate that customer\_ids <40 000 and >40 000 are from different datasets. Observe the different distributions on multiple covariates:



## Model Overview

### <u>Goal</u> Determine E(loan profit) for each callee

Process

Given that expected profit is only obtained in two stages:



We decompose E(loan profit) in the following way: E(loan profit) = E(loan profit | respond = 1) P(respond = 1)

<u>Analysis</u>

- Decomposition important to study responders and non-responders separately.

- Otherwise, incorrectly model loan profit for non-responders as o: Non-responders could be profitable if they had taken out a loan

## 2 Stage Model

Recall: E(loan profit) = E(loan profit | respond = 1) P(respond = 1)

### Response Model:

- Determine P(respond = 1)

Output is a probability rather than indicator variable:
Allows customers with low response probability to be selected if
they have high enough expected loan profitability
Logistics regression

#### Profitability Model:

- Determine E(loan profit | respond = 1)

- Given our earlier observation that loan profit is binary, we use a logistics regression model as well

Data Preparation

## **Response Model**

- Clean data removing incorrect cases (eg. Age > 100)
- Recoding categorical variables: Easier to work with 1,0 than "yes", "no"
- Using the 10% of our data set aside as a test dataset, we wrote a script to run our strategy on the data.

## **Profitability Model**

- Ensure no data is obviously wrong
- Separate out the 4748 of 40712 customers who responded

## Evaluation of Results

### **Response Model**

- Root Mean Square Error = 0.249

### **Profitability Model**

- Error = o. Perfect performance!
- Our logistics model predicted all 4748 of the responder's profitability correctly.
- Consequently, Response Model now bears all the load.

### Overall

- Profit of our strategy was more double (2.37x) the profit of the naïve strategy of targeting every customer.
- Our model's profit: 47 308.30 Naïve model profit: 19 992.55

## Recommendations and Future Research

### Separate the two datasets out more finely.

Here, we visually inspected that the cut off was at customer\_id 40 ooo. It's probably slightly less than that. We also note that customer\_ids near 25 000 and 32 000 display very similar behavior to the >40 000 group. Ideally, we'd group all of these data together and conduct separate analysis.

**Examine how the two datasets are different from each other**. We suspect that they were derived using very different methodologies. The customer\_id <40 000 seem a good deal more systematic and "clean". The days show clear sequential structure, and the ages are cut off between 22 and 60.

### Use classification algorithms (eg. K-nearest neighbors).

Given that loan profitability is binary with distinct clustering, we should treat this as a classification problem rather than a regression problem. Classification is after all, much easier than regression